

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF A STOCHASTIC DIFFUSION TRANSFER PROCESS WITH AN EQUILIBRIUM POINT OF A QUALITY CRITERION

A. V. Nikitin

UDC 519.21+62

Abstract. *Weak convergence conditions are obtained for a diffusion transfer process with Markov switchings and a control with an equilibrium point of quality criterion functions. A procedure is constructed for the stochastic approximation of such a point in a scheme of series.*

Keywords: *stochastic diffusion equation, generator on a Banach space, Markov process, stochastic approximation procedure.*

INTRODUCTION

A random evolution in the form of a diffusion process with a control determined by the condition of reaching the extremum of a quality criterion function was studied in [1, 2]. A particular case is the existence of an equilibrium point of the quality criterion used in many applied optimal estimation problems [3, 4]. The problem of asymptotic behavior of systems under random disturbances [5] is independently considered. The latter problem was investigated using a small parameter in schemes of series and diffusion approximation [6]. To prove important statements, the Korolyuk model theorem [7] is used. In [8], a continuous procedure of stochastic optimization under the direct influence of a Markov process on regression functions and a pulse disturbance in a diffusion approximation scheme are considered.

This article considers the convergence of a diffusion transfer process with Markov switchings and a control with an equilibrium point of the quality criterion function for which a procedure of stochastic approximation in a scheme of series is constructed.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let a transfer process $y(t) \in \mathbf{R}^d$ be defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dy(t) = a(y(t), x(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), x(t), u(t))dw(t), \quad (1)$$

where $x(t)$, $t > 0$, is a uniformly ergodic Markov process defined by the generator

$$Q\varphi(x) = q(x) \int_X P(x, dy) [\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)] \quad (2)$$

in a measurable phase space (X, X) [6] on the Banach space $B(X)$ of real-valued bounded functions $\varphi(x)$ with the supremum norm

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = \sup_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|.$$

Kamianets-Podilskyi Ivan Ohienko National University, Kamianets-Podilskyi, Ukraine, nik_tol@rambler.ru.
Translated from *Kibernetika i Sistemnyi Analiz*, No. 4, pp. 169–175, July–August, 2015. Original article submitted October 6, 2014

The generator Q is reducibly inverse on $B(X)$ with the projector $\Pi\varphi(x) := \int_X \pi(dx)\varphi(x)$, where $\pi(B)$ ($B \in X$) is the

stationary distribution of the Markov process $x(t)$, $t \geq 0$; this distribution is found from the relationships $\pi(dx)q(x) = q\rho(dx)$, $q = \int_X \pi(dx)q(x)$ ($\rho(dx)$ is the stationary distribution of the embedded Markov chain x_n , $n \geq 0$), and the

potential R_0 of the Markov semigroup $R_0 = \Pi - [Q + \Pi]^{-1}$.

The functions $a(y, x) = (a_k(y, x), k = \overline{1, d})$ and $\sigma(y, x, u) = (\sigma_k(y, x, u), k = \overline{1, d})$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $x \in X$, satisfy the conditions of existence of a global solution of the evolution equations

$$dy_x(t) = a(y_x(t), x)dt + \sigma(y_x(t), x, u_x(t))dw(t), \quad x \in X, \quad (3)$$

for each fixed value of x of the Markov process $x(t)$, $t \geq 0$, in an interval $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ of stay of the process $x(t)$, $t \geq 0$, at a state $x \in X$.

Let the quality criterion of transfer process (1) be defined by a function $G(y, x, u)$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, with only one equilibrium point u_x^* on the interval $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ that follows from the condition $G(y_x, x, u_x) = 0$ or, in general representation (1), the control $u(t)$ is defined by the condition

$$G(y(t), x(t), u(t)) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Note that the solution of stochastic equation (1) on the interval $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ under the nonrandom control $u(t)$ forms a Markov process.

To find asymptotic properties of the solution of problem (1), (4) in a scheme of series with a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, we consider the stochastic equation

$$dy^\varepsilon(t) = a(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon))dt + \sigma(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon), u^\varepsilon(t))dw(t) \quad (5)$$

and the procedure of stochastic approximation

$$du^\varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t)G(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon), u^\varepsilon(t))dt \quad (6)$$

with the common initial conditions

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (7)$$

MAIN RESULT

THEOREM 1. Let $a(y, u) \in C(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R}^d)$, let $\sigma(y, x, u) \in C(\mathbf{R}^d, X, \mathbf{R}^d)$, and let $G(y, x, u) \in C(\mathbf{R}^d, X, \mathbf{R}^d)$.

Then, for an arbitrary ε ($\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ is sufficiently small), the following weak convergence takes place:

$$(y^\varepsilon(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) \Rightarrow (\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t)), \quad (8)$$

where the limit process $(\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t))$ is specified by the generator

$$L\varphi(y, u) = A(y, u)\varphi(y, u) + \frac{1}{2}B(y, u)\varphi(y, x) \quad (9)$$

with the following representation in terms of test functions $\varphi(y, u) \in C^{3,2}(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R}^d)$:

$$A(y, u) = a(y)\varphi'_y(y, u) + \alpha(t)G(y, u)\varphi'_u(y, u), \quad (10)$$

where $a(y) = \int_X a(y, x)\pi(dx)$, $G(y, u) = \int_X G(y, x, u)\pi(dx)$, $B(u, y) = \hat{\sigma}^2(y, u)\varphi''_{yy}(y, u)$, and $\hat{\sigma}^2(y, u) = \int_X \sigma^2(y, x, u)\pi(dx)$.

COROLLARY 1. We will describe the limit control process $(\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t))$ by the equations

$$d\hat{y}(t) = a(\hat{y}(t))dt + \sigma(\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t))dw, \quad (11)$$

$$d\hat{u}(t) = \alpha(t)G(\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t))dt. \quad (12)$$

COROLLARY 2. Let us consider the transfer process described in a scheme of series by the stochastic differential equation

$$dy^\varepsilon(t) = a(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon), u^\varepsilon(t))dt + \sigma(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon), u^\varepsilon(t))dw(t)$$

with the control $u^\varepsilon(t)$ defined by the equation $du^\varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t)G(y^\varepsilon(t), x(t/\varepsilon), u^\varepsilon(t))dt$ and components $a(y, x, u), G(y, x, u), \sigma(y, x, u) \in C(\mathbf{R}^d, X, \mathbf{R}^d)$.

Then the weak convergence $(y^\varepsilon(t), u^\varepsilon(t)) \Rightarrow (\hat{y}(t), \hat{u}(t))$ takes place, where the limit process is defined over test functions $\varphi(y, x, u) \in C^{3,0,3}(\mathbf{R}^d, X, \mathbf{R}^d)$ by generator (9), where $A(u, y)\varphi(u, y) = a(y, u)\varphi'_y(y, x) + G(y, u)\varphi'_u(y, u)$, $a(y, u) = \int_X a(y, x, u)\pi(dx)$.

We first establish some properties of the generator of the three-component Markov process $y_t^\varepsilon = y_t^\varepsilon(t)$, $x_t^\varepsilon = x_t^\varepsilon(t)$, $u_t^\varepsilon = u_t^\varepsilon(t)$ defined by the relationship

$$L^\varepsilon(y, x)\varphi(y, x, u) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Delta} E[\varphi(y_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon) - \varphi(y, x, u) | y_t^\varepsilon = y; x_t^\varepsilon = x; u_t^\varepsilon = u].$$

We introduce the following denotations for the conditional expectation with corresponding decompositions of increments:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{y,x,u}\varphi(y + \Delta y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u + \Delta u) \\ &= E[\varphi(y + \Delta y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u + \Delta u) | y_t^\varepsilon = y; x_t^\varepsilon = x; u_t^\varepsilon = u]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{y,x,u}\varphi(y + \Delta y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u + \Delta u) \\ &= E_{y,x,u}\varphi\left(y + \int_t^{t+\Delta} a(y^\varepsilon(s), x)ds + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s))dw(s), x, u + \Delta u\right) I(\theta > \varepsilon^{-1}\Delta) \\ &+ E_{y,x,u}\varphi\left(u + \int_t^{t+\Delta} a(y^\varepsilon(s), x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon)ds + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon(s))dw(s), x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u + \Delta u\right) I(\theta < \varepsilon^{-1}\Delta) + o(\Delta), \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where θ is the sojourn time of the Markov process $x(t), t \geq 0$, at a state x , we have

$$I(\theta > \varepsilon^{-1}\Delta) = 1 - \varepsilon^{-1}q(x)\Delta + o(\Delta), \quad I(\theta < \varepsilon^{-1}\Delta) = \varepsilon^{-1}q(x)\Delta + o(\Delta).$$

For the first addend in sum (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi\left(y + \int_t^{t+\Delta} a(y^\varepsilon(s), x)ds + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s))dw(s), x, u + \Delta u\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(v + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s))dw(s), x, u + \Delta u\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $v = y + \int_t^{t+\Delta} a(y^\varepsilon(s), x)ds$.

For the latter representation of the test function with allowance for $\pm\varphi(v,x,u+\Delta u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(v + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s), x, u + \Delta u \right) \\ &= \varphi'_y(v, x, u + \Delta u) \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(v, x, u + \Delta u) \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 + \varphi(v, x, u + \Delta u) + o(\Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_y(v, x, u + \Delta u) &= \varphi'_y(v, x, u) + \varphi''_{uy}(v, x, y)\Delta u + o(\Delta) \\ &= \varphi'_y(v, x, u) + \varphi''_{yu}(v, x, u)\alpha(t)G(y, x, u)\Delta + o(\Delta), \\ \varphi''_{yy}(v, x, u + \Delta u) &= \varphi''_{yy}(v, x, u) + \varphi'''_{yyu}(v, x, u)\alpha(t)G(y, x, u)\Delta + o(\Delta), \end{aligned}$$

for function (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(v + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s), x, u + \Delta u \right) \\ &= \varphi(v, x, u) + \varphi'_u(v, x, u)\alpha(t)G(y, x, u)\Delta + o(\Delta) \\ &+ \varphi'_y(v, x, u) \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \\ &+ \alpha(t)\varphi''_{yu}(v, x, u)G(y, x, u) \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s)\Delta + o(\Delta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(v, x, u) \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t)\varphi'''_{yyu}(v, x, u) \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 G(y, x, u)\Delta + o(\Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Taking into account the representation of the variable v and continuous differentiability of test functions φ , we obtain

$$\varphi(v, x, u) = \varphi \left(y + \int_t^{t+\Delta} a(y^\varepsilon(s), x) ds, x \right) = \varphi(y, x, u) + \varphi'_y(y, x, u)a(y, x)\Delta + o(\Delta).$$

All the components with the variable v have similar representations in representation (15). Therefore, according to representation (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(v + \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s), x, u + \Delta u \right) \\ &= \varphi(y, x, u) + \varphi'_y(y, x, u)a(y, x)\Delta + o(\Delta) + \alpha(t)\varphi'_u(y, x, u)G(y, x, u)\Delta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + o(\Delta) + \varphi'_y(y, x, u) a(y, x) \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \Delta + o(\Delta) \\
& + \alpha(t) \varphi''_{yu}(y, x, u) G(y, x, u) \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \Delta + o(\Delta) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(y, x, u) \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 + o(\Delta) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \alpha(t) \varphi'''_{yyu}(y, x, u) \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 G(y, x, u) \Delta + o(\Delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the following relationships hold for conditional expectation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{u,x,y} \int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) = 0, \\
& E_{y,x,u} \left[\int_t^{t+\Delta} \sigma(y^\varepsilon(s), x, u^\varepsilon(s)) dw(s) \right]^2 = \sigma^2(y, x, u) \Delta + o(\Delta),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{y,x,u} [\varphi(y + \Delta y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u + \Delta u)] \\
& = \varphi(y, x, u) + [\varphi'_y(y, x, u) a(y, x) + \alpha(t) \varphi'_u(y, x, u) G(y, x, u)] \Delta \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(y, x, u) \sigma^2(y, x, u) \Delta - \varepsilon^{-1} q(x) E_{y,x,u} \varphi(y, x, u) \Delta \\
& + \varepsilon^{-1} q E_{y,x,u} \varphi(y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u) \Delta + o(\Delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the generator $L^\varepsilon(y, x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L^\varepsilon(y, x) \varphi(y, x, u) & = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} \varepsilon^{-1} q(x) E_{y,x,u} [\varphi(y, x_{t+\Delta}^\varepsilon, u) - \varphi(y, x, u)] \\
& + \varphi'_y(y, x, u) a(y, x) + \alpha(t) \varphi'_u(y, x, u) G(y, x, u) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(y, x, u) \sigma^2(y, x, u) \\
& = \varepsilon^{-1} Q \varphi(y, x, u) + \varphi'_y(y, x, u) a(y, x) + \alpha(t) \varphi'_u(y, x, u) G(y, x, u) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''_{yy}(y, x, u) \sigma^2(y, x, u).
\end{aligned}$$

We will formulate the above reasoning in the form of the following statement.

LEMMA 1. The representation of the generator of the three-component Markov process

$$y_t^\varepsilon := y^\varepsilon(t), \quad x_t^\varepsilon := x(t/\varepsilon), \quad u_t^\varepsilon := u^\varepsilon(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

in terms of test functions $\varphi(y, x, u) \in C^{3,0,2}(\mathbf{R}^d, X, \mathbf{R}^d)$ is as follows:

$$L^\varepsilon(y, x) \varphi(y, x, u) = \varepsilon^{-1} Q \varphi(y, x, u) + L(x) \varphi(y, x, u), \quad (16)$$

where

$$L(x)\varphi(y,x,u) = \varphi'_y(y,x,u)a(y,x) + \alpha(t)\varphi'_u(y,x,u)G(y,x,u) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''_{yy}(y,x,u)\sigma^2(y,x,u).$$

LEMMA 2. The solution of the problem of a singular perturbation for generator (16) in terms of test functions $\varphi^\varepsilon(y,x,u) = \varphi(y,u) + \varepsilon\varphi_1(y,x,u)$ defines the limit generator $L\varphi(y,u) = L_y\varphi(y,u) + L_u\varphi(y,u)$, where $L_y\varphi(y,u) = a(y)\varphi'_y(y,u) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(y,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u)$, $L_u\varphi(y,u) = \alpha(t)G(y,u)\varphi'_u(y,u)$, $a(y) = \int_X a(y,x)\pi(dx)$, $G(y,u) = \int_X G(y,x,u)\pi(dx)$, and $\sigma^2(y,u) = \int_X \sigma^2(y,x,u)\pi(dx)$.

Proof. Consider the representation

$$L^\varepsilon(y,x)\varphi^\varepsilon(y,x,u) = \varepsilon^{-1}Q\varphi(y,u) + Q\varphi_1(y,x,u) + L(x)\varphi(y,u) + \varepsilon L(x)\varphi_1(y,x,u),$$

where

$$L(x) = \varphi'_y(y,u)a(y,x) + \alpha(t)G(y,x,u)\varphi'_u(y,u) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(y,x,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u)$$

with the remainder in the form $\theta(x) = L(x)\varphi_1(y,x,u) = \theta_y(x) + \theta_u(x)$.

We write the expression $\varphi_1(y,x,u)$ in the form

$$\varphi_1(y,x,u) = R[L - L(x)]\varphi(y,u) = R_0\tilde{L}(x)\varphi(y,u),$$

where

$$\tilde{L}(x) = \tilde{a}(y,x)\varphi'_y(y,u) + \alpha(t)\tilde{G}(y,x,u)\varphi'_u(y,u) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2(y,x,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u),$$

$$\tilde{a}(y,x) = a(y) - a(y,x), \quad \tilde{G}(y,x,u) = G(y,u) - G(y,x,u),$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2(y,x,u) = \sigma^2(y,u) - \sigma^2(y,x,u).$$

Thus, for the remainder terms $\theta_y(x)$ and $\theta_u(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_y(x) &= a(y,x)R_0[\tilde{a}(y,x)\varphi'_y(y,u)]'_y + \frac{1}{2}a(y,x)R_0[\tilde{\sigma}^2(y,x,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u)]'_y \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{a}(y,x)\varphi'_y(y,u)]''_{yu} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^2(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{\sigma}^2(y,x,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u)]''_{yu}, \\ \theta_u(x) &= \alpha(t)a(y,x)R_0[\tilde{G}(y,x,u)\varphi'_u(y,u)]'_u + \alpha(t)G(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{a}(y,x)\varphi'_y(y,u)]'_u \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t)G(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{\sigma}^2(y,x,u)\varphi''_{yy}(y,u)]'_u + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(t)\sigma^2(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{G}(y,x,u)\varphi'_u(y,u)]''_{yy} \\ &+ \alpha^2(t)G(y,x,u)R_0[\tilde{G}(y,x,u)\varphi'_u(y,u)]'_u. \end{aligned}$$

By the Korolyuk theorem [7], $L_y\varphi(y,u)$ defines a limit diffusion process that satisfies the equation $d\hat{y}(t) = a(\hat{y})dt + \sigma(\hat{y}, \hat{u})dw(t)$ with the following control:

$$d\hat{u} = \alpha(t)G(\hat{y}, \hat{u}(t))dt.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The statement of Theorem 1 follows from the Korolyuk model theorem [7] and the result of Lemma 2.

THEOREM 2. Let the Lyapunov function $V(y, u)$ of the averaged system $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = G(y, u)$ be such that it satisfies the following conditions:

$$Y1: G(y, u)V'(y, u) < -cV(y, u),$$

$$Y2: |a(y, x)R_0[\tilde{G}(y, x, u)V'_u(y, u)]'_y| \leq c_1V(y, u),$$

$$|G(y, x, u)R_0[\tilde{a}(y, x)V'_y(y, u)]'_u| \leq c_2V(y, u),$$

$$|G(y, x, u)R_0[\tilde{\sigma}^2(y, x, u)V''_{yu}(y, u)]'_u| \leq c_3V(y, u),$$

$$|\sigma^2(y, x, u)R_0[\tilde{G}(y, x, u)V'_u(y, u)]'_{yy}| \leq c_4V(y, u),$$

$$|G(y, x, u)R_0[\tilde{G}(y, x, u)V'_u(y, u)]'_u| \leq c_5(1+V(y, u)).$$

Next, let the function $\alpha(t)$ be such that $\int_0^\infty \alpha(t)dt = \infty$, $\int_0^\infty \alpha^2(t)dt < \infty$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is sufficiently small, the convergence $P\left\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = u^*\right\} = 1$ takes place.

Proof. Consider now the generator of limit control $L_u^\varepsilon V(y, u) = L_u V(y, u) + \varepsilon \theta_u(x)$ for which we obtain the following estimate from conditions Y1 and Y2:

$$L_u^\varepsilon V(y, u) \leq -c\alpha(t)V(y, u) + c^* \alpha^2(t)(1+V(y, u))$$

that implies the statement of Theorem 2 by the theorem considered in [3].

CONCLUSIONS

The asymptotic value of the control u^* allows one to consider fluctuations of a deviation of the control $u(t)$ from u^* and also to establish its basic characteristics.

REFERENCES

1. I. I. Gikhman and A. V. Skorokhod, Controlled Random Processes [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1977).
2. J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev, Limit theorems for Random Processes [Russian translation], Vol. 1, Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1994).
3. M. B. Nevelson and R. Z. Khasminskii, Stochastic Approximation and Recursive Estimation [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1972).
4. V. B. Kolmanovskii, "Problems of optimal estimation," Soros Educational Journal, No. 11, 122–127 (1999).
5. A. V. Skorokhod, Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1987).
6. V. S. Korolyuk and N. Limnios, Stochastic Systems in Merging Phase Space, World Scientific, London–Singapore–Hong Kong (2005).
7. V. S. Korolyuk, Stochastic Models of Systems [in Ukrainian], Naukova Dumka, Kyiv (1989).
8. U. T. Khimka and Ya. M. Chabanyuk, "A difference stochastic optimization procedure with impulse perturbation," Cybernetics and Systems Analysis, **49**, No. 3, 768–773 (2013).